

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,346	02/26/20	004	Scott Decker		ENV015/106062	3973
24030	7590 07/29/2005				EXAMINER	
SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, PC					LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
	120 WEST 12TH STREET KANSAS CITY, MO 64105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANASAS C	111, 1410 0410	.5			1724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/787,346	DECKER, SCOTT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑	☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[						
Applicant may not request that any objection		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• •				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority doc		•				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	· ·					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I</li> </ol>		received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eceived				
332 2.000 130						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/</li> </ul>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	_				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0605				

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The purpose of the documents numbered as pages 22-38 is not clear. They appear to contain references related to oxygen generators, but have been identified by the Office as an appendix to the specification. If they are intended to be part of the specification, all charts, figures and graphics must be canceled and resubmitted as drawing figures with brief descriptions added. If they are prior art references, a proper Information Disclosure Statement listing the references should be submitted for consideration. Also, a brief description in the specification is required for figure 2, along with the brief description of figure 1 on page 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 17. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stolz et al. (6,645,450) in view of Hobby (5,279,963).
- 5. Stolz et al. '450 disclose a method of treating an exhaust gas, comprising scrubbing the gas in a counter-current packed column (108), providing a water supply (110) to the packing to contact the water, adding hydrogen peroxide (124) to the water, and adding ozone and a sulfuric acid pH reducer to the water (see figure 1, col. 5, line 57 to col. 6, line 8, col. 7, lines 46-65, col. 9, lines 18-35, examples 1-4). The instant claims differ from the disclosure of Stolz et al. in that the exhaust gas is cooled before scrubbing.
- 6. Hobby '963 discloses a process for decontaminating an exhaust gas, comprising adjusting the gas temperature using evaporation via water mist, contacting the gas with wash water in packed absorption columns, and adding ozone to the used wash water for subsequent recycle (figure 1, col. 1, line 53 to col. 2, line 4, col. 4, lines 15-47, col. 9, lines 24-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Stolz et al. '450 by incorporating temperature control of the inlet exhaust gas in order to provide optimum absorber conditions that do not defeat the efficacy of wash water additives.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,346

Art Unit: 1724

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose absorbers for gas purification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

Trank Laurence 6-8-05

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/787,346

Art Unit: 1724

fl

Page 5